

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,387	10/01/2003	Yoshihide Iwaki	2870-0266P	4434
2292	7590 11/27/2006 EXAMINER		INER	
	EWART KOLASCH &	KAPUSHOC, STEPHEN THOMAS		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11.320 011	, 		1634	
			DATE MAILED: 11/27/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s) IWAKI ET AL. Art Unit 1634	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner Stephen Kapushoc		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		•	
REPLY FILED <u>26 October 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followance: (2) one	on the same day as filing a No llowing replies: (1) an amendm	tice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of ent, affidavit, or other evidence, which	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 October 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the followin time periods:
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely file may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See attached page</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. Solution for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) solution will not be entered, or b) solution will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attached page.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)
13.

CARLA J. MYERS ~ PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 10/674,387 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

Advisory Action Continuation

1. The proposed amendments to the claims alter the scope and subject matter encompassed by the claims such that the amended claims would required search and consideration of specific elements beyond that required for the previously examined claims. Newly presented claim 25, from which pending claims, 2-11 and 26 depend, changes the requirements of the primers of the claimed method such that a first primer and a second primer, each with a different artificial mismatch nucleotide, are used. The claim also requires the amplification of a sample in which two alleles are present. These limitations were not required in the previously examined claims. Additionally, newly presented claim 26 specifies that the artificial mismatch nucleotide in the first primer is adenine and the artificial mismatch nucleotide in the second primer is cytosine, whereas the previously examined claims made no specification of any particular mismatch nucleotide.

Thus the proposed claims require further consideration of the prior art with regard to the use of different mismatch nucleotides in different primers, as well as further consideration of enablement under 35 USC 1st¶ as to whether or not the use of specific mismatch nucleotides adenine and cytosine would in fact be suitable for performing the claimed method of producing the same amount of amplification product from any template (considering the data presented in Table 5 of the instant specification which shows the different results of the 1A and 2C primer set versus the 1C and 2A primer set).

2. With regard to the arguments of Applicant directed to the current rejection of claims under 35 USC 102 and 35 USC 103, all arguments are directed to the proposed amended claims. As discussed above, the amendment has not been entered and therefore the arguments are moot and will not be addressed.

6